



<b>Network Quarterly Report Suite - Executive Summary Report</b>	<b>Agenda Item: 5</b>
	<b>SSBCNMN Activity &amp; Quality Monitoring – Network Quarterly Report Suite</b>

**1. GENERAL DETAILS**

<b>Report to:</b>	Network Board
<b>Date of meeting:</b>	
<b>Author:</b>	Mel Sutcliffe and Ruth Moore
<b>Related Strategic Priority or Regulatory Requirement:</b>	SSBCNMN Work Programme 2016/17 1. Capacity & Demand 2. Clinical Governance, Quality & Risk 3. Parent, Carer & Public Participation

**4. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REPORT**

<b>Purpose of the report:</b>	To highlight key neonatal activity and quality performance in the network	<b>Decision</b>	
		<b>Approval</b>	
		<b>Information</b>	✓

<b>Executive Summary</b>	<p><b>1. 2016/17 Quarter 2 Activity</b></p> <p>The overall number of admissions by gestation <b>needs to be completed with correct figures</b></p> <p><b>2. 2016/17 Quarter 2 Outcomes</b></p> <p>The number of network babies undergoing therapeutic hypothermia is comparable to Q1 but remains higher than the average per quarter last year.</p> <p>The number of deaths was fewer this quarter than quarter 1 with a spread across all gestations.</p> <p>Term admissions remains the same with 50-60% of admissions to each unit being in this group.</p> <p><b>3. 2016/17 Quarter 2 National Dashboard</b></p> <p>For the network level indicator only 6 out of 14 babies &lt;27 weeks were born in a NICU, of note when double checked against the network annual report on Badger it states 4 out of 11 so it is possible that some of these babies were actually also born out of network. In either case this is much worse than the previous quarter (60%) and last year (74%).</p> <p>Of note for the second quarter running neither RHH or WMH had no CLABSIs and RHH had 100% ROP screening on time. There were no babies with admission temperature &lt;36C at RWH.</p> <p><b>4. National Neonatal Audit Programme 2016/17 Quarter 2</b></p> <p>Antenatal steroids – WMH, RHH and RWH all fall below the standard of 85%</p> <p>Admission temperature within an hour – WMH is low this quarter</p> <p>Mother’s milk at discharge – has improved this quarter with WMH, PRH and RWH now above 50%.</p>
--------------------------	---



	<p>Consultation – WMH is on the lower limit with RHH and RWH also falling below the average.</p> <p>Many of these results may be due to poor data entry but Badger sends out weekly e-mails and NNAP sends quarterly reminders to check data before it is pulled off Badger, it is therefore important to have systems in place to be able to check this.</p> <p><b>5. Care Pathway Exceptions</b></p> <p><b>2016/17 Quarter 2 IUT exceptions</b> – The number of IUT exceptions in Q2 (13) is similar to Q1 (16), however Walsall IUT data is missing and Walsall IUTs have been the largest in previous quarters. The main reason remains the local NNU is full (11).</p> <p><b>2016/17 Quarter 2 Ex-utero exceptions</b>– The number of ex-utero exceptions in Q2(46) was lower than Q1 (61)but was comparable to all quarters in 2015/16 (range 47-52). The decrease in number is reflected by a decrease in the number of babies with delayed repatriations and admissions from outside the network.</p> <p><b>2016/17 Quarter 2 BadgerNet Exception Report &amp; Network Monitoring – To be completed</b></p> <p><b>6. 2016/17 Quarter 1 Shared Learning from Serious Untoward Incidents</b> –5 incidents were reported this quarter from RSUH and RHH, further details to be added following completion of on-going investigations. Information is missing from RWH, WMH and PRH.</p> <p><b>7. 2016/17 Quarter 2 Neonatal Parent Experience</b>–Positive experiences of neonatal services in 2 of the 5 units in SSBCNMN were reported by 28 parents this quarter. Information from the parent passport parent experience survey is missing from RSUH, RHH and WMH. There was no feedback from parent’s using the parent app this quarter.</p>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The network board is asked to note the key SSBCNMN activity &amp; quality performance within the report.</li> <li>• Units should submit missing information as identified in 5 (WMH), 6 (RWH, WMH and PRH) and 7 (RSUH, RHH and WMH) above</li> <li>• The full suite of reports is in appendix 1.</li> </ul>					
<p><b>Network Impact Assessment (please tick any which are impacted on / relevant to this paper)</b></p>						
<p><b>Quality Implications</b></p>	<p>✓</p>	<p><b>Financial Implications</b></p>	<p></p>	<p><b>Legal Implications</b></p>	<p><b>Workforce Implications</b></p>	<p>✓</p>

Appendix 1.