

Template for Network Proposals for Non Recurrent Funding Available in 2016/17

1. Introduction

- 1.1 There is a small amount of underspend (Circa £9,000) in the network infrastructure budget in 2016 due to slippage in the pay budget from the 4 month vacancy in the new Quality Improvement Lead Role.
- 1.2 Proposals are invited from Network Groups for the above funding which **must be spent by 31 March 2017**.
- 1.3 The network management team will assess all proposals received against agreed criteria in order to prioritise the recommendations to the network board in October for spend in 2016/17.
- 1.4 All proposals against Network funding must be completed using this pro-forma.
- 1.5 Proposals to create new professional roles or increases in staffing must be accompanied by a job description, including accurate estimate of salary.
- 1.6 All proposals to be sent electronically in the first instance **by Monday 19 September 2016**.
To: Ruth Moore, Network Manager. **Email: ruth.moore2@nhs.net**

Proposal completed by: Name: Designation: Signature:	Sarah Carnwell PPI Group Chair
Name of Network Group	PPI Group
Brief summary of the proposal and total funding required	Funding for mats and a baby bouncer for each parent support group in the Network.

Outline of Proposal	
1. Aim of Proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detail here what problems the proposal aims to correct. Identify evidence of the problem(s) and sources of any data used 	Mum's are not able to fully participate in the parent support group sessions due to having to hold babies. These groups can last for up to two hours. There are a variety of activities undertaken during the sessions including Q&A sessions with HP's, which are difficult to partake in when carrying baby/babies for a prolonged period of time.
2. Full details of the proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please fully describe the proposal and how it address's the problems identified above 	Often, inadequate resources will discourage parents from attending parent groups and evidence shows parents will only come to groups that meet their needs. We would like to purchase bouncers and mats to encourage parents to actively partake in the group sessions and to ensure the environment is safe and comfortable for both parent and baby. Providing these would also mean less items to carry when coming to the group for example, car seats and/or pushchairs.
3. Financial Details Required to fund the Proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please provide full financial details A full and detailed budget is required. Please include all relevant costs Please provide exact costs (not rounded-up estimates). Please identify any other sources of funding contributing to this proposal, clearly indicating the amount being requested through the network 	Three Baby Bouncer at a cost of £25 each for each parent support group in the Network Total cost of bouncers: £375.00 Wipe clean mats at a cost of £44.99 for four mats, for each parent support group in the Network Total cost of mats: £225.00 Total cost of bid: £600.00
4. Expected outcomes of the proposal Please include here details	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It will improve the quality of care following discharge from the unit by providing a safe and comfortable

<p>of the impact of the proposal with respect to;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality of Neonatal care • Increase in Capacity • Decrease in Inappropriate transfers • Value for Money • Appropriate use of Specialised commissioner funding • Developing neonatal services 	<p>environment for both parents and baby. It is difficult for parents to access the service when they are carrying their baby. These groups can last up to two hours. SWMMNN purchased the same for the Bliss Family Group as they recognised this was an issue for most parents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The equipment will last the support groups a long time. • Provides additional support for the groups which have proven to be a huge support for parents. • Allow limited unit resources to be used for inpatient care. • Allow more mums to participate in the Groups. • Allow Group organisers to arrange for specialists to come and give talks/question and answer sessions as and when requested by the parents. • Supports the Bliss Baby Charter principles 		
<p>5. Identify how the expected outcomes will be measured and reported to the Network</p>	<p>Numbers of parents attending parent support groups. Specialists (dietician, speech therapists, counselors, etc.) attending groups</p>		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td data-bbox="172 1039 1027 1205"> <p>Name: Sarah Carnwell</p> <p>Signature:</p> <p>Organisation: Newborn Network</p> </td> <td data-bbox="1027 1039 1366 1205"> <p>Date: 30-08-16</p> </td> </tr> </table>		<p>Name: Sarah Carnwell</p> <p>Signature:</p> <p>Organisation: Newborn Network</p>	<p>Date: 30-08-16</p>
<p>Name: Sarah Carnwell</p> <p>Signature:</p> <p>Organisation: Newborn Network</p>	<p>Date: 30-08-16</p>		

Please email completed form to ruth.moore2@nhs.net by close of play on Monday 19 September 2016

Information Below to be completed by the Network Management Team

Financial Review Completed by:

Date:

Comments:

Proposal supported by Network :

Yes / No

Date:

Reasons for Decline:

Assessment Criterion	Fully meets criteria 2	Partially meets criteria 1	Does not meet criteria 0	Comments
1. Quality of Care <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the proposal improve the quality of care for Women, babies or their parents? • Will the proposal improve the experience of services for women, babies or parents? 				
2. Increase in Capacity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the proposal result in increased capacity? • Will the proposal facilitate the repatriation of babies to local units? • Will the proposal improve the availability of cots in appropriate locations in SSBCNMN • Is there robust data/evidence to support the proposal? 				
3. Decrease in Inappropriate transfers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the proposal result in decreased inappropriate transfers? • Is there robust data/evidence to support the proposal? 				
4. Value for money <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the benefits to be achieved worth the cost? • Are there any cost savings associated with this investment and have these been taken account of in the total cost of the proposal? 				
5. Appropriate use of network investment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are network funds the only way that this proposal can be taken forward? • Is it appropriate that the network fund this development or could bids be made elsewhere? • Should this proposal be funded by the individual Trust? E.g. standard replacement equipment should be replaced by the Trusts and will not be the best use of Network funds. 				
6. Developing Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the proposal innovative? • Does the proposal introduce a new way of working or delivering an aspect of the service differently? 				
Total	/12			