

Staffordshire, Shropshire & Black Country Neonatal Operational Delivery Network

FOLLOW UP GROUP MEETING NOTES			
Wednesday 6 th February 2019 at 10 am			
Room 6, Mid Staffordshire Postgraduate Medical Centre, County Hospital, Stafford, ST16 3SA			
	,,,,,,,, .	Actions	
1.	APOLOGIES:		
	Kate Palmer – University Hospital of North Midlands		
	Menik Upatissa – Russell's Hall Hospital, Dudley		
	Subra Mahadevan – Russell's Hall Hospital, Dudley		
	PRESENT:		
	Helen Schubert – Russell's Hall Hospital, Dudley		
	Ruth Moore – SSBC Neonatal ODN		
	Sarah Carnwell - SSBC Neonatal ODN		
	Sarah Nicklin – Walsall Manor Hospital		
	Sagarika Ray – Princess Royal Hospital, Telford		
	Richard Heaver – New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton		
2.	MINUTES OF THE 7 TH NOVEMBER 2018		
	Agreed with the following amendments:		
	Item 4 – SN remembered Kate Palmer explaining how to find information on babies that		
	move area in Badger. KP to provide explanation/screenshots for the Group to use.	KP	
	Item 5 – SC to amend the dates of the audit to the 1 st July 2015 – 30 th June 2016.	SC	
	SC to check with City hospital if there is a lead for long term follow up to join this	SC	
_	group		
3.	MATTERS ARISING		
	Chair of the Group	sc	
	SC to approach KP to ask if she would be interested, on the basis of the Terms of Reference.	30	
	Social Emotional and Adaptive Questionnaire Consensus on Completion HS completes and enters the data on Badger. SN, SR and RH do not use. RH thought		
	that Kate Palmer did use the questionnaire and that the information was used in order to		
	score an uncooperative child. KP to feedback the usefulness of the questionnaire ,	KP	
	how she analyses the data and if she would be willing to provide training to others	131	
	in the Network on how to use it. The Group will then agree if all going to use the		
	questionnaire going forward. HS has got spare copies of the questionnaires she can		
	distribute to others in the group to use if it is agreed that all with use them in the future.		
	Four Year Assessment		
	RM felt that the Group had discharged its responsibility and that it was other services		
	responsibility to take this forward. This can be removed from the agenda for subsequent		
	meetings and only be included in the future if any member of the group has an update		
	from their area regarding this to share with others.		
4.	ANNUAL AUDIT OF OUTCOME DATA		
	Template for Audit of Compliance		
	SaTH's data had been circulated by SR prior to the meeting. RH had done the wrong		
	dates due to an error in the notes he had done January 2016 to December 2016	RH	
	and will get the correct data for 1st July 2015 – 30th June 2016 in line with the		
	NNAP year. SR confirmed that it was all babies less than 30+6 weeks gestation.		
	Babies that move into the area are not on Badger, see Matters Arising. All agreed did		
	not include passively cooled babies, only those that had active cooling or should have		
	had active cooling. Babies that are not discharged from your unit, are not included in your units Badger list. HS to contact NNAP Lead on the neonatal unit to get	HS	
	assistance with data extraction. All suggested asking a junior doctor to assist in	All	
	completing the audit. SN has got the data however she has not got the outcome data	All	
	as this requires going into each individual record. The Group discussed the subjective		
	nature of the outcome. If only an isolated delay in one area, for example speech, then		
	all agreed would not consider the child to be delayed. SR extracted the classification of		
	delay that was entered into the Badger system by the person undertaking the		
	delay that was entered into the badger system by the person undertaking the	l	

see	essment. RM suggested that all complete the audit then look at the results to	All
	if there are any differences between units and whether they are differences in	00
	ies or differences in perception/assessment. SC to ask KP if she has	SC
	repleted the audit for Stoke. All agreed that in future it would be easier to record	
	a prospectively at the time of assessment rather than trying to capture the information	
	ospectively. If seen in clinic can use that assessment even if not formal named	DII
	essment. All agreed to complete the audit of compliance with NICE guidelines at	RH
	n appointment. RH to add the appointments that the NICE guideline	SR and
	ommends into the audit proforma and send to SC for circulation to the Group.	RH
	and RH to confirm if they are available to give a presentation at the Network	
	lit /QI Competition on the 20 March 2019 on the process, proforma and issues	CNI amal
	implementation of the Network Audit of Compliance with the NICE	SN and
	delines, with an aim that the actual data will be presented next year at the	SC
	lit /QI Competition. SN to adapt the current proforma into a tick sheet for use	
	linic for each baby and send to SC for circulation to the Group for comments.	
	n all can input this data into the same spreadsheet for collation and comparison	
	oss the Network. WORK GUIDELINE	
_		
	<u>Update Regarding Amendment at Board</u> t is not a NICE requirement for a formal Bayley assessment, there was a comment at	
	Network Board that this should be reflected in the Network Guideline. The NICE	
	deline recommends a face to face recognized structured developmental assessment	
	All agreed that (Bayley) be removed from the title of the network guideline.	sc
	group believed that the guideline should identify bayley as the recommended	30
	essment. RM stated that all Trusts in the Network complete the formal adoption form	RM
	tifying which guidelines they are following or not therefore if a trust is not using	Chair
	ley they are able to reflect that in their returned formal adoption form. RM to ask	SR
	ke to confirm what assessment they will be using instead of Bayleys. The	J.
	up to feedback to the Board that four of the units in the Network are using	
	leys. SR to ask Kathryn McCarron for the current updated Guideline, as it is	
	ng through the BCGP processes.	
	ger List of Patients Requiring Follow Up	
	pabies less than 30+6 weeks. The Badger list does not pick up babies of any	
	tation with other issues such as HIE, etc. SC to ask KP for feedback following her	
	ndance at the Badger Conference with regards to information about follow up	
	the Badger List and Babies Moving Area. Information can be requested for	
	ies going out of region using the letter template on the Network website.	
	OTHER BUSINESS	
	re was no other business.	
	TE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING	
	to liaise with the new Chair of the Group.	