

Evaluation Form

Training Event	Quad Network Research Study Day
Venue	Room 1, Wolverhampton Medical Institute
Date	10th January 2013

24 delegates attended and 17 evaluation forms were received.

Were the speakers/instructors knowledgeable? Yes 13 No 0 No response 4

How do you rate the overall quality of the education offered by this event?

0	0	0	13
Not Relevant	Fairly Relevant	Mostly of Relevance	Highly Relevant

No response 4

Comments:

Excellent, highly relevant event.

Highly relevant, as I am a midwife currently completing "Assessment and Examination of Newborn" module with aim of extending my role to include exam. of newborn.

Please circle the most appropriate score for the following (4 being excellent and 1 being poor).

Title of Session	Excellent				Poor
	4	3	2	1	
Pulse Ox Study and Implications for Practice	4	3	2	1	
	13	1	0	0	

No response 3

Comments:

Good, useful to know issues from experience of how tested.

Missed most of it, as I arrived late.

Excellent overview of pulse ox screening and implementation.

High quality evidence presented – good stimulation of discussions around how to implement local changes.

Very good presentation and highlighted an important clinical problem.

Very relevant to practice and improving quality and outcomes for newborn babies.

Very clear, focussed, practical with highly useful messages. Well paced.

Title of Session	Excellent				Poor
	4	3	2	1	
Current Nursing Practice of Non Nutritive Sucking During Tube Feeding	4	3	2	1	
	10	6	0	0	

No response 1

Comments:

Useful to understand non-nutritive sucking.

Very good, we think we do well, but nurses need to do more with parents and babies.

Highlighted non-interference of non-nutritive sucking with successful breastfeeding and reduction in length of stay. Food for thought.

Good networking opportunity, chance to share practice across region.

Very well carried out study. Reflects actual practice!

Learned the importance of NNS.

Title of Session	Excellent			Poor
	4	3	2	1
Prevalence and Outcome of Chronic Lung Disease in a Tertiary NNU	4	3	2	1
	8	8	1	0

Comments:

A comparative analysis against other/similar sized units would have been helpful.
 Good data, but no message or learning point.
 Good presentation, some data unnecessary for slides – pie chart, etc.
 Really interesting discussion points, easily understood even though this is not my area of practice.
 Well presented and clear.

Title of Session	Excellent			Poor
	4	3	2	1
Survey on the Investigations Undertaken and Use of Diuretics in Babies with CLD	4	3	2	1
	3	11	3	0

Comments:

Inadequate remit data to interpret, no message.
 Detailed presentation of research.
 Good presentation.
 Good debate, really good slide of renal system and effect of diuretics.
 Interesting how practice can vary.

Title of Session	Excellent			Poor
	4	3	2	1
Outcomes of Late Pre-Term Infants	4	3	2	1
	17	0	0	0

Comments:

Very relevant to current practice. Final outcomes will be very interesting and recommendations for practice.
 Very informative.
 An excellent presentation – identifying little studied problems in pre term infants.
 Very good content and well delivered.
 Excellent talk.
 Increased awareness of long term problems of late pre-terms.
 Excellent data, will definitely change my practice. I need to read alot.
 Focussed. Lots of learning points. A convincing case for treating late pre-terms with more respect than we currently do.

Title of Session	Excellent			Poor
	4	3	2	1
Experience of Inhaled Nitric Oxide in Babies with Pulmonary Hypertension	4	3	2	1
	4	8	4	0

No response 1

Comments:

Good presentation of data from experience.
 Useful but limited by restriction to one unit.
 Not a good presentation.

Title of Session	Excellent			Poor
	4	3	2	1
Pulse Oximetry Screening for Critical Congenital Heart Defects	4	3	2	1
	13	3	0	0

No response: 1

Comments:

Useful to know, helpful in practice.
 Well done in achieving 100% response rate.
 Saw at SWMNN audit day.
 Very good data.
 Clear presentation.
 Really relevant to current clinical practice, standards of screening and helath care.

Was there any bias or conflict of interest in the course? Yes 0 No 15

No response: 2

Comments:

What improvements do you feel could be made for future events?

None, excellent day with good discussion regarding implications of research, studies for practice.
 Thank you! Excellent!
 Encourage more trainees and staff to attend the meetings and submit abstracts.
 Should be more published particularly for neonatal nurses and research nurses.
 For consultants to encourage more nurses to come to study days like these and their research nurses.
 Mixture of audit and research. Audit no clear objective or message. An excellent day.
 Sorry to see poor turnout.
 Change title to "Quad Network Audit and Research Study Day"; to advertise the event at least six months in advance to allow trainees to present from different units, this will also improve attendance.
 Really found day beneficial. Thank you.