

# Clinical Guideline: Heated Humidified High Flow Nasal Cannula (HHHFNC) Guideline

**Authors:** Dr Eliana Panayiotou and Dr Bharat Vakharia

**Reviewed 2018 :** Dr Bharat Vakharia and Dr Chun Lim

**For use in:** EoE Neonatal Units  
Guidance specific to the care of neonatal patients.

**Used by:** EOE Neonatal Units  
Guidance specific to the care of neonatal patients

**Key Words:** High flow, humidity, non-invasive ventilation, respiratory

**Date of Ratification:** December 2018

**Review due:** December 2021

**Registration No:** **NEO-ODN-2018-17** (version 2)

**Approved by:**

Neonatal Clinical Oversight Group	
Clinical Lead Mark Dyke	

**Ratified by ODN Board:**

<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>13<sup>th</sup> December 2018</b>
------------------------	--------------------------------------

**Audit Standards:**

1. Documentation of indication of HHHFNC
2. Record of clinical assessment after commencing HHHFNC
3. Blood gas analysis within 2 hours of starting HHHFNC
4. Documentation of decision to discontinue HHHFNC

# Heated Humidified High Flow Nasal Cannula (HHHFNC) Guideline

This guideline outlines the use of humidified high flow nasal cannula (HHHFNC) for non-invasive ventilation support in infants on the neonatal unit.

There are various manufacturers of HHHFNC devices including Vapotherm (Vapotherm Inc) and Optiflow (Fisher-Paykel). Setting up HHHFNC will depend on which device is used in each neonatal unit and relies on the correct choice of nasal cannula size.

There is considerable difference in experience in the use of this relatively new modality of non-invasive respiratory support in neonates.

Some neonatal units in the region have used this modality of non-invasive ventilation since 2008 and it is often their routine first-line tool for non-invasive support. Others have just started using it bigger babies while they continue to gain confidence.

The aim should be to use the least invasive respiratory support possible that permits stabilisation and minimises adverse outcomes.

## Introduction:

- Humidified high flow nasal cannula (HHHFNC) delivers non-invasive respiratory support in neonates in the form of oxygen or a mixture of oxygen and air at flow rates  $\geq 1\text{L}/\text{min}$ . Delivery of high flow rates via standard nasal cannulae is not possible due to the negative impact on the respiratory mucosa with delivery of cold and dry gases. For this reason nasal cannula are only used at low flow-rates (generally  $<0.5\text{L}/\text{min}$ ) to deliver supplemental oxygen and maintain saturations.
- HHHFNC offers an alternative option to low-flow nasal cannula, head box oxygen and nasal continuous positive airway pressure (nCPAP).
- May be used as primary therapy or as step down care from mechanical ventilation. Early initiation of non-invasive ventilation is advocated to prevent prolonged use of endotracheal ventilation and therefore reduce the impact of ventilator induced lung injury.

- HHHFNC can be used for non-invasive ventilation support in both term and premature infants.

## **Background & Rationale:**

- HHHFNC allows the delivery of oxygen or a mixture of oxygen and air at flow rates greater than the inspiratory flow rate possible for a neonate to achieve (Kugelman et al 2014)
- Entrainment of room air is reduced as the flow-rate increases and hence HHHFNC therapy can deliver oxygen at higher concentrations than is possible by low flow therapy or head box oxygen.
- This can be achieved by flows greater than 2L/min; however, the American Academy of Respiratory Clinicians advocates a flow of at least 3L/min to define high flow therapy.

Non-invasive deliveries of gases by other modes have different benefits and complications.

- Face masks / standard low flow intranasal cannulae are uncomfortable and can cause irritation due to the use of dry, cold gases. Infants treated with low flow nasal cannulae oxygen, require more suctioning, which can lead to mucosal damage, bleeding and infection.
- Head box oxygen can generate significant noise and can lead to substantial fluctuations in the delivered  $\text{FiO}_2$  during cares, examinations and incubator entry.
- Nasal CPAP (nCPAP) delivered via nasal prongs or nasal mask has been shown to cause barotrauma as well as trauma to the nose and head. Abdominal distension is often seen and can at times lead to avoidable discontinuation of feeds, completion of septic screens and abdominal X-rays.
- Bubble humidifiers do not significantly increase humidity and temperature and have been associated with mucosal trauma, infection and nasal bleeding.

The use of HHHFNC may minimise or avoid the problems noted above and is increasingly being used throughout the UK as an alternative mode of non-invasive respiratory support (Nath et al 2010)

There is evidence that HHHFNC provides some PEEP, approximately 3-5 cm H<sub>2</sub>O when flow rates up to 8 L/min are used. However, HHHFNC is not a CPAP device and the PEEP is neither controlled nor monitored.

## **Mechanisms of Action:**

The mechanisms of action of HHHFNC are multiple and probably have different contributions dependent on the gestation of the infant and disease cycle.

- 'Flush' is an important and novel concept. Flush is improved by having small nasal (< 50 % of the diameter of the nares) prongs to allow leak. The flush of carbon dioxide during expiration from the upper airways dead space is probably the most important mechanism underlying its efficacy (de Jongh et al 2014, Dysart et al 2009)
- Gas conditioning: the evidence is that unconditioned gases (not fully humidified and not at 37°C) causes adverse compliance changes in lung tissue. Heated and humidified gases therefore;
  - Improve functional residual capacity
  - Reduce inspiratory resistance and subsequently improves work of breathing (Dysart et al 2009)
  - Improve lung compliance with increasing flow-rate (Dysart et al 2009, Saslow et al 2006)
  - Aids carbon dioxide elimination
  - Improves oxygenation (Dysart et al 2009)
  - Delivery of heated and humidified gases reduce metabolic demand of conditioning the inspiratory gases. With respiratory distress and tachypnoea, minute ventilation increases leading to larger volumes of

inspired gases requiring warming and humidification by the nasopharynx. This leads to a considerable energy demand. By providing gases that are already conditioned, HHHFNC aims to counteract this extensive energy expenditure (Dysart et al 2009).

- Continuous positive distending pressure (CPDP) prevents alveolar collapse. The amount of CPDP produced is directly related to the degree of flow delivered in a linear association. However, the patient's weight, the size of nasal cannula in relation to size of nares also impact upon CPDP produced, although to a lesser degree (Collins et al 2013, Dani et al 2009).

#### Summary of Actions:

<b>Dead space washout</b>	Reduce dead space making minute ventilation more efficient
<b>Reduce inspiratory work of breathing</b>	Exceed inspiratory flow thus eliminating nasal resistance
<b>Improved lung mechanics</b>	Warmed, humidified gas has been shown to improve conductance, lung compliance and lung elasticity
<b>Eliminates metabolic work associated with gas conditioning</b>	Attenuates the energy and water loss associated with conditioning inspiratory gas
<b>Provision of mild distending pressure</b>	Provides positive distending pressure for lung recruitment. It prevents alveolar collapse
<b>Improve secretion mobilisation</b>	Ideal humidification of the inspired gas has been shown to restore mucocilliary function and reduce symptoms of airway exacerbations

Table adapted from: High Flow Nasal Cannula Therapy in Neonatology, TL Miller

### Choice of Nasal Cannulae Size:

- Fitting nasal cannula that is narrower than the size of the nares is vital in preventing the generation of potentially harmful high pressures.

- The nasal cannula should be no larger than 50% of the diameter of the nares to ensure only safe CPDP is produced (de Jongh et al 2014, Dysart et al 2009).
- Incorrect nasal cannulae fitting may lead to high distending pressures and pulmonary barotrauma, with the extremely premature infant being at greatest risk.

The choice of nasal cannula will depend on the device used

1. VapoTherm:

<b>Weight</b>	< 700 g	< 1100 g	>1100 g
<b>Max flow rate</b>	8 L / min	8 L / min	8 L / min
<b>Outside tip diameter</b>	1.5 mm	1.5 mm	1.9 mm

Adapted from VapoTherm: NICU pocket guide

2. Optiflow

<b>Gestational age</b>	< 32 weeks	27 weeks – 6 months
<b>Weight</b>	< 2 kg	1 – 8 kg
<b>Max flow rate</b>	8 L / min	8 L / min

Adapted from fphcare.co.uk

### HHHFNC versus CPAP:

Studies have shown that HHHFNC is as effective as nCPAP when used in neonates and that there may be benefits to choosing HHHFNC over CPAP.

- In treating infants with respiratory distress, there is no difference in work of breathing of the patient when nCPAP and HHHFNC are compared (Klingenberg et al 2009, Saslow et al 2006)

- There is similar efficacy to nCPAP for support of neonates >28 weeks gestation with respiratory dysfunction; when applied post-extubation and when used as primary therapy (reducing the need for intubation and mechanical ventilation). Some studies have in fact shown a greater rate of endotracheal intubation or need for re-intubation in patients treated with nCPAP. Greater caution is required when treating infants < 28 weeks gestation (Holleman-Duray et al 2007, Manley et al 2013, Shoemaker et al 2007, Yoder et al 2013).
- There is no difference between extubation failure when HHHFNC is compared to nCPAP and no difference in those who went on to be diagnosed with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. (Holleman-Duray et al 2007, Manley et al 2013, Yoder et al 2013)
- There are no differences in rates of PDA, intraventricular haemorrhage, periventricular leucomalacia, necrotising enterocolitis, laser eye surgery or sepsis (Holleman-Duray et al 2007, Shoemaker et al 2007).
- HHHFNC is as effective as nCPAP when managing apnoea of prematurity (Holleman-Duray et al 2007, Sreenan et al 2001)
- Infants treated with HHHFNC do not have an increased oxygen requirement when compared to infants treated with nCPAP (Sreenan et al 2001)
- Significantly reduced rate of nasal trauma compared to those treated with nCPAP (Kugelman et al 2014, Manley et al 2013, Yoder et al 2013)

## **Benefits:**

- Reduces work of breathing by improving gas exchange
- Minimises nasal trauma when compared to nCPAP (Woodhead et al 2006, Collins et al 2013).

- Reduces risk of gaseous abdominal distension and therefore may improve feed tolerance
- Delivery of humidified and heated gases protects the respiratory mucosa from drying and bleeding (Woodhead et al 2006). Delivery of cold, dry gases by other means can lead to bronchoconstriction, increased airway resistance and increased metabolic demand of conditioning the gases. Delivery of dry gases also leads to reduced lung compliance that negatively impacts upon respiratory distress (Dani et al 2009).
- May be tolerated better than nCPAP, reducing energy expenditure and improving growth (Holleman-Duray et al 2007, Shoemaker et al 2006)
- Infants do not require time off HHHFNC for nose breaks as with nCPAP
- HHHFNC allows respiratory support to be provided alongside oral feeding
- Optimises opportunities for parental care administration, including skin-to-skin and breastfeeding (Klingenberg et al 2009)
- Provides an alternative option for post-extubation respiratory support
- Weight gain optimised in comparison to infants treated with nCPAP due to reduced metabolic demands, improved feed tolerance and earlier achievement of full feeds (Holleman-Duray et al 2007)
- Easy access to infants head for measuring head circumference, performing cranial ultrasounds or applying cerebral function monitors.

## **Drawbacks:**

- Inability to measure and monitor airway pressure and therefore potential to over-distend the lungs
- Risk of pneumothorax

- There are currently no studies / consensus on starting flow rates or weaning methods. These are likely to be dependent on gestation of infant, weight and degree of respiratory distress.
- Unknown impacts of HHFNC on the extremely premature or extreme low birth weight infant

## **Indications:**

- Non-invasive ventilation option in term and pre-term infant as step down treatment from mechanical ventilation or nCPAP or as first line treatment of:
  - Respiratory distress syndrome (surfactant deficient lung disease) / Grunting
  - Meconium aspiration syndrome
  - Respiratory acidosis
  - Chronic lung disease
  - Apnoea of prematurity
  - Post-operative respiratory support
  - Infants with nasal trauma secondary to nCPAP
  - Use in infants with facial deformities which may mean nCPAP is difficult to apply.
- HHFNC may be suitable for use in any infant irrespective of gestation, birth weight or age provided intubation and ventilation is not required. Caution, however, is advocated in the extremely premature and extreme low birth weight infants (Holleman-Durray et al 2007, Manley et al 2013, and Shoemaker et al 2007).

## **Contraindications:**

- Abnormality in anatomy of upper airway preventing use of nasal prongs
- Respiratory distress requiring ventilation
- Worsening clinical instability

## **Starting HHHFNC:**

- Ensure infant meets indications for use
- In infants > 1kg, start a flow rate at 6L /Min with FiO<sub>2</sub> adjusted to maintain saturations. Flow rates may be increased by 0.5-1L to a maximum of 8L/min if:
  - Increasing FiO<sub>2</sub>
  - Increasing pCO<sub>2</sub>
  - Increasing respiratory distress
  - To manage apnoea
- In infants < 1kg, starting flow rates 4L /min may be advocated as flow rates > 6L /min should not be exceeded in this group of babies. It should be a senior decision to use flows >6 L/min in this group of babies. If respiratory support needs to be escalated further, BiPAP or mechanical ventilation need to be considered.
- Clinical assessment of infant after initiation of HHHFNC within 30 minutes and blood gas analysis within 2 hours
- Continuous monitoring of heart rate, respiratory rate and oxygen saturations is required
- If persistent respiratory acidosis, apnoeas, increased work of breathing or FiO<sub>2</sub> requirement 50-60% alternative respiratory support should be considered in the form of BiPAP or intubation and ventilation.

## Weaning:

- Infants do not require cycling time on and off HHHFNC
- Weaning is a clinical decision and can be considered if:
  - Reduced work of breathing
  - Reduction in respiratory rate
  - Stable capillary blood gases
  - No apnoeas
  - Stable oxygen requirements
- Aim to wean flow-rate towards 2 L / min
- Once a flow-rate of 2L / min has been reached, consider stopping HHHFNC
- If oxygen support is still required, low-flow nasal cannulae may be trialled.
- Weight > 1kg:
  - If FiO<sub>2</sub> 21% aim to wean flow by 1L/min every 12 hours as tolerated
  - If FiO<sub>2</sub> 22- 25% aim to wean flow by 1 L / min 24 hourly as tolerated
  - If FiO<sub>2</sub> 25 - 30% cautious weaning 1L / min 24 - 48 hourly as tolerated
  - If FiO<sub>2</sub> >30 % weaning may not be possible but a minimum flow rate of 4 L / min should be maintained.
- Weight < 1kg:
  - If FiO<sub>2</sub> 21% -25% aim to wean flow by 1 L/min every 24 hours as tolerated
  - If FiO<sub>2</sub> 25 - 30% cautious weaning 1 L / min 24 – 48 hourly as tolerated

- If  $FiO_2 > 30\%$  weaning may not be possible but a minimum flow rate of 6 L / min should be maintained.
- Weaning is a clinical decision and a more cautious approach may be advocated based on individual clinical circumstances.
- Increase flow rate again if on weaning there is a significant increase in:
  - Respiratory rate
  - Work of breathing  
-----
  - $FiO_2$
  - $pCO_2$
  - Desaturations
  - Apnoeas

If flow rates are increased, delay further attempts at weaning until a period of stability has again been reached for 24-48 hours

## Summary: Initiation and Weaning of HHHFNC

### Indications for HHHFNC

- Non-invasive ventilation in term / pre-term infant
- Respiratory distress syndrome / Grunting
- Meconium aspiration syndrome
- Respiratory acidosis
- Respiratory support post-extubation or weaning from BiPAP
- Chronic lung disease
- Treatment & prevention of apnoea of prematurity

### Start HHHFNC

- Commence 6 L / min if >1kg, 4L/min if <1kg
- FiO2 to maintain saturations
- Clinical assessment at 30 mins
- Blood gas within 2 hours
- Flow can be increased to 8 L / min if needed, if > 1kg or 6 L/min if <1kg

### Clinical Improvement

YES

NO

### Start Weaning

	FiO2 21%	FiO2 22-25%	FiO2 25 – 30%	FiO2 >30%
Weight > 1kg	Wean 1L / min 12hrly	Wean 1 L / min 24 hrly	Wean 1 L / min 24 - 48 hrly	Wean flow to minimum 4 L /min
Weight < 1kg	Wean 1 L /min 24hrly		Wean 1 L /min 24 - 48hrly	Wean flow to minimum of 6 L/min

- 24 hours stability at 2 L / min – discontinue HHHFNC
- Start low flow nasal cannula O2 if required to maintain saturations
- If increased WOB, RR or FiO2 return to previous settings and halt weaning for a further 24-48hrs

### Consider

- BiPAP
- Intubation and ventilation

## References:

- Clarke P (2013) Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals Guideline .Nasal high flow therapy (Vapotherm) Use for non-invasive respiratory support in neonates.
- Collins CL, Holberton JR and Konig K (2013) Comparison of pharyngeal pressure provided by two heated, humidified high-flow nasal cannulae devices in premature infants. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health* 49; 554-556
- Dani C, Pratesi S, Migliori C et al (2009). High flow nasal cannula therapy as respiratory in the preterm infant. *Paediatric Pulmonology* 44; 629-634
- De Jongh BE, Locke R, Mackley A et al (2014). Work of breathing indices in infants with respiratory insufficiency receiving high-flow nasal cannula and continuous positive airway pressure. *Journal of Perinatology* 34(1) 27-32
- Dysart K, Miller TL, Wolfson MR et al (2009) Research in high flow therapy: Mechanisms of action. *Respiratory medicine* 103; 1400-1405
- Holleman-Durray D, Kaupie D and Weiss MG (2007) Heated humidified high-flow nasal cannula: use and a neonatal early extubation protocol. *Journal of Perinatology* 27; 776-781
- Klingerberg C, pettersen M, Hansen EA et al (2014) Patient comfort during treatment with heated humidified high flow nasal cannulae versus nasal continuous positive airway pressure: a randomised cross-over trial. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 99; F134-137
- Kugelman A, Riskin A, Said W et al (2014) A randomised pilot study comparing heated humidified high-flow nasal cannulae with NIPPV for RDS. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* doi: 10.1002/ppul.23022
- Manley BJ, Owen LS, Doyle LX et al (2013). High-flow nasal cannulae in very preterm infants after extubation. *New England Journal of Medicine* 369; 1425-33
- Miller SM & Dowd SA (2010). High-flow nasal cannula and extubation success in the premature infant: a comparison of two modalities. *Journal of Perinatology* 30; 805-808
- Ojha S, Grdley E, Dorling J (2013) Use of heated humidified high-flow nasal cannula oxygen in neonates: a UK wide survey. *Acta Paediatrica* 102; 249-253
- Saslow JG, Aghai ZH, Nakhla TA et al (2006) Work of breathing using high-flow nasal cannula in preterm infants. *Journal of Perinatology* 26; 476-480
- Shoemaker MT, Pierce MR, Yoder BA & Di Geronimo RJ (2007). High flow nasal cannula versus nasal CPAP for neonatal respiratory disease: a retrospective study. *Journal of Perinatology* (2007) 27, 85-91

Spence KL, Murphy D, Kilian C, McGonigle R & Kilani RA (2007). High-flow nasal cannula as a device to provide continuous positive airway pressure in infants. *Journal of Perinatology* 27, 772-775

Sreenan C, Lemke RP, Hudson-Mason A et al (2001). High-flow nasal cannulae in the management of apnoea of prematurity: A comparison with conventional nasal continuous positive airway pressure. *Pediatrics* 107; 1081

Vakharia B (2013). Guidelines for use of humidified high flow nasal cannula therapy in neonate. Luton and Dunstable University Hospitals.

Wilkinson D, Andersen C, O'Donnell CPF, De Paoli AG (2011). High flow nasal cannula for respiratory support in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 5 Art No CD006405. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006405.pub2

Woodhead DD, Lambert DK, Clark JM et al (2006) Comparing two methods of delivering high-flow nasal cannula following endotracheal extubation randomized, masked, crossover trial. *Journal of Perinatology* 26; 481-485

Yoder BA, Stoddard RA et al (2013) Heated, humidified high-flow nasal cannula versus nasal CPAP for respiratory support in neonates. *Pediatrics* 131; e1482

Liverpool Womens Hospital Guideline. High Flow Therapy  
[www.liverpoolwomens.nhs.uk/library/health\\_professionals/neonatal\\_policy\\_library/high\\_flow\\_oxygen\\_guideline.pdf](http://www.liverpoolwomens.nhs.uk/library/health_professionals/neonatal_policy_library/high_flow_oxygen_guideline.pdf)

NHS Forth Valley – Humidified High Flow Nasal Cannulae Guideline 2011  
[http://www.nhsforthvalley.com/documents/qi/ce\\_guideline\\_wcdneonatal/humidifiedhighflownasalcannulaeguideline.pdf](http://www.nhsforthvalley.com/documents/qi/ce_guideline_wcdneonatal/humidifiedhighflownasalcannulaeguideline.pdf)

King Edward Memorial Hospital, Perth – Humidified High Flow Nasal Cannula Therapy; Suggested Protocol  
<http://www.kemh.health.wa.gov.au/services/nccu/guidelines/documents/2/HumidifiedHighFlowNasalCannulaTherapy.pdf>

**The organisation is open to share the document for supporting or reference purposes but appropriate authorisation and discussion must take place to ensure any clinical risk is mitigated. The document must not incur alteration that may pose patients at potential risk. The East of England Neonatal ODN accepts no legal responsibility against any unlawful reproduction. The document only applies to the East of England region with due process followed in agreeing the content.**

**All Rights Reserved. The East of England Neonatal ODN withholds all rights to the maximum extent allowable under law. Any unauthorised broadcasting, public performance, copying or re-recording will constitute infringement of copyright. Any**

***reproduction must be authorised and consulted with by the holding organisation (East of England Neonatal ODN).***

***The organisation is open to share the document for supporting or reference purposes but appropriate authorisation and discussion must take place to ensure any clinical risk is mitigated. The document must not incur alteration that may pose patients at potential risk. The East of England Neonatal ODN accepts no legal responsibility against any unlawful reproduction. The document only applies to the East of England region with due process followed in agreeing the content.***

## Exceptional Circumstances Form

Form to be completed in the **exceptional** circumstances that the Trust is not able to follow ODN approved guidelines.

Details of person completing the form:	
Title:	Organisation:
First name:	Email contact address:
Surname:	Telephone contact number:
Title of document to be excepted from:	
Rationale why Trust is unable to adhere to the document:	
Signature of speciality Clinical Lead:	Signature of Trust Nursing / Medical Director:
Date:	Date:
Hard Copy Received by ODN (date and sign):	Date acknowledgement receipt sent out:

Please email form to: [mandybaker6@nhs.net](mailto:mandybaker6@nhs.net) requesting receipt.

Send hard signed copy to: Mandy Baker  
 EOE ODN Executive Administrator  
 Box 93  
 Cambridge University Hospital  
 Hills Road  
 Cambridge CB2 0QQ

East of England Neonatal Benchmarking Group

Benchmark: Heated Humidified High Flow Nasal cannula Oxygen  
Version 2.

<b>Score relates to practice in (unit):</b>	
<b>Scored by:</b>	<b>Date scored:</b>
<p><b>Statement:</b> There are various manufacturers of HHHFNC including VapoTherm &amp; Optiflow. Heated Humidified High Flow Nasal Cannula (HHHFNC) delivers non-invasive respiratory support in neonates, in the form of oxygen or a mixture of oxygen and air at flow rates &gt;1.0L/min. Delivery of high flow rates via standard nasal cannula is contraindicated due to the negative impact on the respiratory mucosa with delivery of cold and dry gases. HHHFNC is an established and effective respiratory support used in new-born infants with mild respiratory distress syndrome, apnoea or after extubation or INSURE HHHFNC has a number of physiological benefits, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-drying to the upper airway mucosa</li> <li>• More accurate FiO<sub>2</sub> delivery</li> <li>• Reduced barotrauma and abdominal distension compared to NCPAP</li> <li>• Improves functional residual capacity, lung compliance and oxygenation</li> <li>• Reduces inspiratory resistance and subsequently improves work of breathing.</li> <li>• Aids carbon dioxide elimination</li> </ul> <p>HHHFNC may reduce the length of/need for ventilation and following ventilation in neonates with respiratory distress syndrome may potentially reduce the incidence of Chronic Lung Disease</p>	
<p><b>Standards:</b> Careful observation will be necessary to reduce the risk of trauma related to HHHFNC therapy. Regular on-going assessments are instrumental in the success or failure of the infant on HHHFNC</p>	
<p><b>Patient Group:</b> Any infant cared for in a neonatal unit that requires respiratory support from HHHFNC</p>	
<p><b>Triggers for the development of the benchmark:</b> Standardising practice Including evidence into practice Professional concerns Documentation Education</p>	
<p><b>Criteria for scoring:</b> Max. 6 infants nursed on HHHFNC in the last month will be assessed visually and their documentation reviewed.</p>	

Key Factors	Individual scores	Possible total
1. 1	There is an evidence-based guideline to support clinical practice.	3
2. 2	Patient Interface & HHHFNC Delivery System	6
3. 3	Nursing Care/ documentation of infant receiving HHHFNC	7
4. 4	Education and training	5

**Factor 1: There is an evidence-based guideline to support clinical practice**

Evidence based practice guidelines ensure that care delivered to the infant is of the highest standard.

Clinical guidelines are systematically developed statements to assist practitioner and patient decisions about appropriate healthcare for specific circumstances, statements about different aspects of the patients' condition and the care to be given.

**5. Factor 2: Patient Interface & HHHFNC Delivery System**

In order to minimise the risk of potential damage caused by the HHHFNCO2 interface, each time the system is set up it should be measured correctly and set up so as to not cause any pressure on the nares or septum.

**Factor 3: Nursing Care of infant receiving HHHFNC**

The on-going care of the infant on HHHFNC is primarily the responsibility of the registered nurse, who will ensure its safe and effective delivery.

With increased use of nasal HHHFNC in neonates there is evidence of decreased incidence of chronic lung disease and barotrauma.

**Factor 4: Education and training.**

Caregivers need to understand the HHHFNC system they are using to be able to troubleshoot and prevent complications.

Statements to justify scores/local action plans:

