SPP1 genotype is a determinant of disease severity in Duchenne muscular dystrophy

ABSTRACT

Objective: Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is the most common single-gene lethal disorder. Substantial patient–patient variability in disease onset and progression and response to glucocorticoids is seen, suggesting genetic or environmental modifiers.

Methods: Two DMD cohorts were used as test and validation groups to define genetic modifiers: a Padova longitudinal cohort (n = 106) and the Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group (CINRG) cross-sectional natural history cohort (n = 156). Single nucleotide polymorphisms to be genotyped were selected from mRNA profiling in patients with severe vs mild DMD, and genome-wide association studies in metabolism and polymorphisms influencing muscle phenotypes in normal volunteers were studied.

Results: Effects on both disease progression and response to glucocorticoids were observed with polymorphism rs28357094 in the gene promoter of SPP1 (osteopontin). The G allele (dominant model; 35% of subjects) was associated with more rapid progression (Padova cohort log rank p = 0.003), and 12%-19% less grip strength (CINRG cohort p = 0.0003).

Conclusions: Osteopontin genotype is a genetic modifier of disease severity in Duchenne dystrophy. Inclusion of genotype data as a covariate or in inclusion criteria in DMD clinical trials would reduce intersubject variance, and increase sensitivity of the trials, particularly in older subjects.
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GLOSSARY

CI = confidence interval; CINRG = Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group; COA = correspondence analysis; DMD = Duchenne muscular dystrophy; GWAS = genome-wide association studies; MRC = Medical Research Council; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism; ST = supported tree hierarchical clustering.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is a lethal childhood muscular disease characterized by progressive muscle weakness leading to loss of ambulation and early death due to respiratory or cardiac insufficiency. DMD is due to dystrophin gene mutations resulting in loss of dystrophin protein at muscle plasma membrane.1 To date, the only proven palliative treatment is chronic glucocorticoids treatment.2,3 The mechanisms of action of steroids in DMD are largely unknown, and response is variable. The natural history of DMD is also heterogeneous, with interpatient variability in disease progression, motor, respiratory, and cardiac involvement.4 It is believed that genetic modifiers (multigenic polymorphisms remote from the dystrophin gene) or environmental factors influence variability in disease progression and response to steroids.
This study focused on 29 candidate loci identified through various genetic analyses that might modulate muscle function in DMD. We identified SPP1 (osteopontin) as a strong genetic modifier of disease severity in DMD.

METHODS Patients. Two DMD patient cohorts were studied: one from the University of Padova (Padova cohort) and one from the Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group (CINRG) international clinical trial network (CINRG cohort). DMD diagnosis was achieved by the absence of dystrophin in skeletal muscle or the presence of out-of-frame DMD mutations.

Padova cohort. We included 106 patients with DMD (table e-1A on the Neurology® Web site at www.neurology.org). Muscle strength was evaluated with the Medical Research Council (MRC) scale. A composite MRC score for upper (deltoid and triceps) and lower (ilio-psoas and quadriceps) limbs were calculated. Age at the beginning of steroid therapy, steroid therapy duration, and age at loss of ambulation were recorded.

For mRNA profiling, muscle biopsies were from time at diagnosis prior to any steroid treatment. Disease severity was defined by age at loss of ambulation. Muscle from severe vs mild DMD was defined using an outlier approach (patients showing the latest time to wheelchair vs the earliest) and the archival muscle then accessioned from original diagnostic muscle biopsy 10–20 years previously (table e-1B).

CINRG cohort. A total of 156 subjects from the CINRG provided genomic DNA (table e-2). A total of 104 of 156 were nonambulatory at the time of this study. Grip strength phenotypes at study entry (baseline), using a standardized quantitative muscle testing system with audiovisual feedback (CQMS), was used for the analyses. For power calculations, we utilized both baseline and year 1 visit values.

Single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were selected from 3 different sources: 1) mRNA profiling in patients with severe vs mild DMD; 2) polymorphisms influencing muscle phenotypes in normal volunteers; 3) genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in metabolism (table e-3). Sequence variations were genotyped by TaqMan allele discrimination assays, denaturing high-pressure liquid chromatography, amplification refractory mutation system, or restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis.

mRNA profiling. Labeled RNA was used in competitive hybridization to oligonucleotide microarrays platforms (GEO database ID: GPL6647) printed in-house by the CRIBI Microarray service (http://microcribcribi.unipd.it) in 2 replicates. Fluorescence was read with the ScanArray LITE confocal laser scanner (PerkinElmer). Images were quantified with ScanArray Express (PerkinElmer) software using the fixed circle method. Data were normalized using the total, Lowess, and z score methods, implemented in the TIGR Microarray Data Analysis System (MIDAS) software. Genes presenting more than 35% of pixels above 1 SD of the local background were considered for analysis. The genes with expression values in at least 9 experiments were analyzed by TMEV software performing unsupervised supported tree hierarchical clustering (ST) and correspondence analysis (COA). We used Pearson correlation single linkage method and a bootstrap approach with 100 iterations to calculate the support for the node of the tree. Two class unpaired SAM analysis using 210 permutation was performed.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Total RNA was reverse transcribed using SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). USMG5, SPP1, and LILRA2 (primer sequences available upon request) expression were quantitated by real-time PCR using a ABI PRISM™ 7500 Real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) with the SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix system (Applied Biosystems). As internal controls, TATA box binding protein, β-tubulin, and glucuronidase-β expression were used.

Statistical analyses. For the Padova cohort, 2 phenotypes, composite upper and lower limb MRC, and age at loss of ambulation were studied. Ordinal variables were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test, while for categorical variable the χ² test was performed. Age at loss of ambulation, or age at last follow-up for ambulant patients, were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method; 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the associated estimated SEs. In univariate analysis, the log-rank test was used to test the significance of each SNP. Multivariate analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazards model, including the SNPs that showed a p < 0.1 in univariate analysis. For the CINRG cohort, grip CQMT strength was investigated. Both phenotypes were square root transformed to conform to normality. All analyses used the covariance models with age and current steroid use included as covariates. For those analysis of covariance models showing a significant p value, linear tests between each of the 3 genotype means were performed with the resulting p value adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Sidak method.

To determine the percent of variation in phenotype attributable to genotype, a likelihood ratio test compared the full model (phenotype, genotype, and all covariates) to the constrained model (phenotype and covariates only). A nominal p value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant; however, all p values were also assessed at a very conservative critical level of p = 0.0021 to account for multiple testing (24 tests; 3 cohorts, 2 genetic models, 2 phenotypes, 2 SNPs) (table 1).

The linear correlation between 2 variables was tested using the Pearson r or the Spearman rho.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. We received approval from ethical standards committees on human experimentation (institutional or regional) for any experiments using human subjects, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients (or guardians of patients) participating in the study (consent for research). The clinicaltrials.gov identifier number for the CINRG cohort is NCT00468832.

RESULTS Selection of gene loci. Twenty 9-gene loci were selected for testing as potential genetic modifiers. Two transcript units and associated polymorphisms were chosen from mRNA profiling comparing muscle biopsies (at diagnosis) from patients later experiencing severe vs mild disease progression; 8 loci were studied based upon previously established association with muscle or bone strength, size, or response to resistance training in normal populations (ACTN3 rs1815739; CHODL rs5842674; BC040297 rs2891837; DGKK rs7883609; GEMIN8
All models adjusted for age and current steroid use.

Abbreviations: CINRG = Cooperative International Neuromuscular Research Group; LL = lower limbs; MRC = Medical Research Council; QMT = quantitative muscle testing; UL = upper limbs.

Grip and total strength square root transformed.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymorphism</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>N; adjusted mean ± SEM</th>
<th>p Value adjusted for multiple testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CELSR2 (rs646776)</td>
<td>Grip QMTa</td>
<td>CINRG: ambulatory</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>TT (n = 36; 3.74 ± 0.11); CT (n = 18; 4.35 ± 0.15); CC (n = 5; 3.71 ± 0.28)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Composite MRC LL</td>
<td>Padova: All patients (age 5–10 y)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>TT (n = 35; 8.0 ± 0.17); TG/CC (n = 44; 8.4 ± 0.20)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 (rs28357094)</td>
<td>Composite MRC UL</td>
<td>Padova: All patients (age 5–10 y)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>TT (n = 47; 9.0 ± 0.12); TG/CC (n = 39; 8.3 ± 0.17)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Composite MRC LL</td>
<td>Padova: All patients (age 5–10 y)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>TT (n = 46; 8.6 ± 0.17); TG/CC (n = 33; 7.7 ± 0.21)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grip QMTa</td>
<td>CINRG: All patients</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>TT (n = 105; 3.51 ± 0.08); GT/GG (n = 51; 3.09 ± 0.12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grip QMTa</td>
<td>CINRG: nonambulatory</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>TT (n = 68; 3.35 ± 0.11); GT/GG (n = 36; 2.72 ± 0.15)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mRNA profiling in mild vs severe clinical course in DMD to identify candidate modifier loci. The Padova cohort (106 patients) was studied longitudinally, and age at loss of ambulation was determined. The severe group included 2 patients (nos. 1,456, 1,839) showing loss of ambulation prior to age 10 years (mean 9.22 ± 0.6). The mild group included 3 patients (nos. 2,064, 1,839, 3,368) showing loss of ambulation >13 years (mean 16.7 ± 2.9) (table e-3).

To identify differentially expressed mRNA transcripts, labeled RNA from muscle of patients with mild vs severe DMD was subjected to competitive hybridization with normal control pooled RNA on an oligonucleotide microarray platform. Correspondence analysis identified 2 groups by the microarray data corresponding to patients with DMD with late vs early loss of ambulation (figure 1A). ST analysis showed that patients with DMD with early loss of ambulation clustered together with 100% node support while patients with DMD with late loss of ambulation were clearly separated (with 0%-50% node support) (figure 1B and C). Two-class SAM analysis showed 47 differentially expressed genes with 4.8 median false-positive genes (data available on request from authors).

Real-time PCR confirmed the microarray mRNA expression of 3 genes tested: USMG5, SPP1, and LILRA2. SPP1 (secreted phosphoprotein 1, also called osteopontin) was selected based upon well-documented promoter polymorphisms involved in different human phenotypes (see Discussion) and in muscle remodeling.19 USMG5 (upregulated during skeletal muscle growth) was chosen due to its expression pattern. LILRA2 (leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor, subfamily A, member 2) has an inhibitory activity in monocytes, and thus may alter dystrophic muscle remodeling. Real-time PCR confirmed the decreased expression of SPP1 (−2.7-fold, p = 0.04) and LILRA2 (−3.2-fold, p = 0.023) and the increased expression of USMG5 (4.7-fold, p = 0.05) in patients with late vs early loss of ambulation.

Subsequent genotyping from mRNA-derived candidate genes was limited to 2 transcript units: SPP1 and USMG5. The SNP tested in SPP1 (rs28357094) has been previously shown to be associated with carotid intima thickness and type 1 diabetes.19,20 In USMG5, we studied a validated missense polymorphism (serine to proline) (rs11557060).

A test/validation cohort approach identifies SPP1/osteopontin (rs28357094) and CELSR2 (rs646776) as genetic modifiers of Duchenne dystrophy. For the 29 candidate loci, we used a test cohort, then validation cohort approach. Each locus was initially tested for association with either the Padova longitudinal cohort (time to wheelchair [Kaplan-Meier] n = 106, and MRC score; n = 79) or the CINRG cross-sectional cohort (grip CQMS; n = 156). We established the threshold for significance in the test cohort as p = 0.01, and any locus meeting this threshold
was subsequently tested in the second, validation cohort (data for all 29 loci in table e-4). Two polymorphisms were found associated with strength in the CINRG cohort as a test cohort: one muscle size/strength candidate, ACTN3 (rs1815739; \(p = 0.008\)), and one metabolism candidate, CELSR2 (rs646776; \(p = 0.006\)).\(^{21,22}\) One locus was found associated with disease severity in the Padova cohort as a test cohort: SPP1 (rs28357094; \(p = 0.001\)). These 3 loci were analyzed in the second cohort. When adjusting for multiple testing, only SPP1 remained significant in both cohorts (table 1).

For SPP1, the promoter SNP studied (rs28357094) is immediately upstream of the transcriptional start site, and has been shown to alter transcriptional regulation of the gene through reporter assays.\(^{23}\) In both DMD cohorts, the rare G allele was found to be associated with greater weakness (table 1). In the Padova cohort, with assessment at age 5–10 years, both upper (\(p = 0.001\)) and lower (\(p = 0.001\)) limb MRC showed patients with the G allele to be significantly weaker. In the entire cross-sectional CINRG cohort, patients with the G allele showed weaker grip CQMS values using steroid use and age as covariates (\(p = 0.008\)). The highest effect size for genotype was seen in the grip strength values of nonambulatory steroid-treated boys with DMD (figure 2) (\(p = 0.0017\)).

The muscle strength data showing association of the rare G allele with loss of strength suggested that the G allele carriers may show a more rapid disease progression. Kaplan-Meier plots of the longitudinal Padova cohort confirmed that patients with DMD with the G allele lost ambulation at younger age (\(p = 0.035\); table 1; figure 3). The log rank confirmed also the relation (log rank, \(p = 0.0006\)) between median age at loss of ambulation and steroid therapy (treated

---

**Figure 1** Retrospective mRNA profiling of muscle biopsies from patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) showing mild vs severe clinical course

(A) Component 1 (X-axis) and component 3 (Y-axis) plot of the correspondence analysis. Component 3 is seen to separate patients with DMD into 2 groups (yellow: 1,839, 3,368, 2,064; blue: 1,456, 3,639) corresponding to mild (yellow) vs severe (blue) clinical progression. (B) Bootstrap Pearson clustering analysis of the patients. Clustering of 1,456 and 3,639 patients is 100% bootstrap supported and is separated by the second cluster (3,668, 2,064, 1,839) linked to the first by a node presenting 0%-50% bootstrap supporting score. (C) Patients’ bootstrap clusterization considering differentially expressed genes between the 2 patient groups. Gene expression values are expressed in log scale ratio relating to a common RNA control pool (log2, DMD patient/control pool). Right arrows highlight SPP1. All cluster tree presents the yellow/blue upper bar representing the subdivision of the patients with DMD in late loss of ambulation (yellow) and early loss of ambulation (red).
patients with DMD, median age at loss of ambulation 11 years [95% CI 9.9–12 years]; untreated boys with DMD, 10 years [95% CI 9.8–10.2 years]). There was no significant difference in percentage of steroid-treated and untreated patients with respect to rs28357094 genotype (p = 0.34). In multivariate analysis (Cox proportional hazard method), both rs28357094 genotype (p = 0.05) and steroid therapy (p < 0.0005) were related to loss of ambulation. Spearman rho test showed that increasing age was associated with decreasing muscle strength (rho = −0.35, p < 0.002 for lower limbs and rho = −0.28, p < 0.02 for upper limbs).

Effect of including genotype stratification on power calculations in clinical trials. Data in both the Padova and CINRG cohorts showed that carriers of the SPP1 at-risk G genotype (35% of patients) had greater weakness and more rapid progression compared to the ancestral T genotype. Most clinical trials in DMD have studied the loss of strength as a function of time, where the goal of the therapeutic intervention was to slow the rate of strength loss. Table 2, columns 2 and 3, describes what would be expected to happen to a typical control arm in a future trial, showing little loss of strength over 12 months in the TT group, whereas G allele carriers showed greater strength loss. We calculated the number of subjects required to detect a 15% deflection in the loss of strength, based upon genotype (table 2, column 4). The TT patients required very large numbers of subjects (n = 1,529) to detect a 15% deflection of strength, whereas the GT/GG group required 1/15 the patient numbers (n = 106) to observe the same strength improvement over 1 year (table 2).

DISCUSSION Two gene polymorphisms were identified as potential genetic modifiers of DMD in both the Padova and CINRG cohorts: SPP1/osteopontin and CELSR2. However, when adjusting for multiple testing, only SPP1/osteopontin remained statistically significant.

The effect of SPP1 genotype on progression was similar in magnitude to the pharmacologic use of steroids (about 1 year difference in the loss of ambulation). The Padova patients with the less common G allele were weaker using both upper and lower limbs MRC (p = 0.001) and also showed earlier loss of ambulation. At age 14 years, 20% of patients with DMD with TT genotype were ambulatory, whereas none with GT/GG genotype were ambulatory. In the CINRG cohort, patients with the G allele showed lower grip strength (p = 0.001), with the effect size largest within the nonambulatory, steroid-treated patients (figure 2). By grip strength, GT/GG patients showed a 12% decrease in strength relative to TT across the age range, and this increased to 19% difference in nonambulatory patients.

The rs28357094 polymorphism is 66 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site, and has been shown to alter SPP1 binding to the promoter. The rare G allele has been shown to decrease promoter strength, leading to lower SPP1 mRNA production from the gene, by in vitro cell transfection assays in nonmuscle cells.23 SPP1 has been intensively studied as a marker for tumor progression, and its role in inflammation and tissue remodeling in many pathologies and disorders.24-26

The goal of most clinical trials in DMD is to slow the progression of the disease. However, since the rate of progression is variable, large numbers are required for appropriate statistical powering. We used the CINRG cross-sectional data to carry out power calculations on genotype-stratified subcohorts. Assuming that a 15% deflection of strength loss is clinically significant, fewer patients with DMD with the G genotype are needed to detect a slowing of progression (n = 106), compared to those homozygous for the ancestral T genotype (n = 1,520 patients required).
The proportion of patients with DMD in the Padova cohort remaining ambulatory at the specific age noted is shown (n = 106). The GT/GG genotype is associated with more rapid progression.

The identification of genetic modifiers also provides insights into disease pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{27} Osteopontin is elevated in dystrophin-deficient muscle, both in humans\textsuperscript{14,28} and mdx mice.\textsuperscript{29} However, elevations of SPP1 are not specific to dystrophin deficiency, and are seen in multiple dystrophies, including Becker muscular dystrophy, calpain 3 (LGMD2A), and dysferlin deficiency (LGMD2B),\textsuperscript{18} and mouse models of muscular dystrophy.\textsuperscript{10}

SPP1 is considered an inflammatory marker; however, inflammatory muscle diseases do not show striking OPN levels.\textsuperscript{18,31} Thus, SPP1 elevations may correspond with muscle remodeling rather than inflammation. In the mdx mouse, SPP1 was shown to be expressed by both muscle fibers and a subset of muscle inflammatory cells.\textsuperscript{18} Double knock-out (SPP1-null, dystrophin-null) mice showed less fibrosis and less functional deficits, suggesting that SPP1 expression is deleterious to dystrophic muscle.\textsuperscript{18} The mdx/SPP1 data and the DMD data presented here may seem contradictory; in mdx mice less SPP1 leads to improvement, whereas in patients with DMD less SPP1 leads to greater weakness. However, SPP1 is a multifunctional molecule that has positive roles in muscle regeneration\textsuperscript{12} and negative roles in muscle inflammation, and the mouse and human data may simply highlight these different roles for SPP1.

Taking into account all the findings presented here, the less common G allele of the rs28357094 SPP1/osteopontin promoter polymorphism is associated in DMD with greater weakness and younger age at loss of ambulation. Further research is needed to define the role of the rs28357094 promoter polymorphism on DMD pathophysiology. Regardless of the molecular action, it is important to note that stratification of patients with DMD by genotype has the potential to increase the statistical power and sensitivity of clinical trials. Given the data presented, the clinical trial planner would have 2 choices: either open the trial to all comers or restrict it to the G subgroup, in which case the savings (reduced numbers of patients needed for the trial) is roughly ¼. Conversely, the number of patients with the more severe G genotype is less common, necessitating pretrial screening of larger numbers of patients with DMD.
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**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort*</th>
<th>Baseline mean ± SD</th>
<th>12-Month mean ± SD</th>
<th>N neededb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All subjects</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.94</td>
<td>3.80 ± 1.04</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homozygous wild-type subjects (TT genotype)</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.89</td>
<td>4.02 ± 1.00</td>
<td>1,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects with the risk allele (GT and GG genotypes)</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.98</td>
<td>3.45 ± 1.01</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: DMD = Duchenne muscular dystrophy.

* Includes only those subjects currently using steroids and between the ages of 9 and 20 years.

b Sample size determined using repeated-measures analysis of variance model with a 0.903 correlation coefficient between pre and post measurements.
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